

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/606,040 | 06/29/2000 | Hans Sigrist | CSEM:060 | 7669 |
| 75 | 590 03/25/2003 | | | |
| Parkhurst & Wendel LLP 1421 Prince Street Suite 210 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K | |
| Alexandria, VA 22314-2805 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1634 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/606,040

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Sigrist



Arun Chakrabarti 1634 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED Mar 5, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. 🗌 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. X The a) affidavit, b) affidavit, or c) are request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached sheet. 6. 🗆 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(SARY BENZION, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 10. Other: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Pat of Paper No. 0303

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because:

A) In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

B) In response to applicant's argument that the motivation of the cited references are different than the applicant, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

C)Applicant argues that Miyasaka reference does not teach the carbohydrate structure of the present invention. This argument is not persuasive. Miyasaka clearly teaches mono- or oligosaccharide structures (chemical structure of Column 5, line 5 and Column 6, line 15). Moreover, in presence of "comprising" language of the claim, any additional step(s) or compound(s) can be included in the claim, which may modify the carbohydrate structure. Therefore, Miyasaka reference clearly teaches the carbohydrate structure of the claimed invention.